The Disciplined Investor Podcast – Guest Interview Summary

Guest: Tom Nelson
Show Date: August 30, 2026
TDI Podcast Episode: 988
Subscribe: www.thedisciplinedinvestor.com

PORTFOLIO LOGIC, THE DOLLAR, AND WHY DIVERSIFICATION STILL MATTERS

This episode focuses on why asset allocation needs to evolve as rates, fiscal policy, valuations, and currency trends shift. Andrew Horowitz opens with the case that portfolio design should be an active, ongoing process, not a set it and forget it exercise, and then brings in Tom Nelson, Senior Vice President and Head of Asset Allocation Portfolio Management at Franklin Templeton Investment Solutions, to explain how institutional portfolio construction really works.

TREASURIES, OPERATION TWIST, AND THE DEBT QUESTION

Andrew and Tom also dig into the Treasury market, the idea of a new version of Operation Twist, and why short term fixes do not solve a structural debt problem. From there, the conversation moves into practical portfolio construction, including strategic versus dynamic allocation, tactical tilts, diversification by economic exposure, and the metrics professionals use to judge whether a portfolio is actually doing its job.

SHOULD PORTFOLIOS LOOK DIFFERENT TODAY?

Andrew frames the episode around changing market conditions, arguing that portfolios which worked well in a strong dollar, U.S. equity dominated environment may need to evolve as rates, fiscal policy, and global relative performance change. He says the big question is not whether to abandon what has worked, but whether portfolios should look different going into year end. Tom reacts to the Treasury bond buying discussion and explains that it is too early to tell whether the move is a real shift in policy, but the bond market is clearly signaling concern about inflation, deficits, and the administration's desire for lower rates.

STARTING WITH THE OBJECTIVE, NOT THE ASSETS

Tom explains that asset allocation starts with the client objective, not the asset classes. The first questions are what the money is for, what return is required, what the time horizon is, how much liquidity is needed, and how much drawdown the client can tolerate. Only after that does his team move to capital market assumptions and portfolio weights. He also emphasizes that the focus is really on economic exposures rather than labels, with equities, government bonds, credit, real assets, alternatives, and cash all playing different roles in growth, income, inflation protection, differentiated return streams, and liquidity.

STRATEGIC, DYNAMIC, AND TACTICAL ALLOCATION

The conversation also clarifies the difference between strategic, dynamic, and tactical allocation. Tom describes strategic allocation as the long term framework, usually about seven to ten years, dynamic tilts as a six to twelve month adjustment layer, and tactical moves as even shorter term, often one to three months. That framework lets a portfolio stay anchored to long term goals while still responding to changes in the economic cycle.

WHAT REAL DIVERSIFICATION LOOKS LIKE

A major theme is diversification, which Tom says is not simply owning many different things. Real diversification means owning exposures that respond differently to the same economic outcome, such as long duration Treasuries versus high yield credit or public equity versus private equity. He also notes that a good diversifier should sometimes disappoint clients, because if an asset never underperforms, it probably is not diversifying enough. Andrew reinforces that idea by pointing out that every portfolio will have a least performing position, and that alone does not make it a bad holding.

THE EVOLUTION OF MODERN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Tom closes by explaining how portfolio construction has changed over the last twenty years, moving from simple stock bond alternatives buckets toward risk factors like growth, inflation, duration, liquidity, volatility, and risk premia. ETFs, private credit, private equity, liquid alternatives, and systematic strategies have expanded the investable universe, but they have also increased the risk of unnecessary complexity. His final takeaway is that technology has made information faster and portfolios easier to implement, but it has not necessarily made investors better at avoiding bad decisions.